# 

NATIONAL ACCESS AND SCAFFOLDING CONFEDERATION



NASC full contracting members operating across the UK are audited and accredited every year – so you can rest assured that their operatives are highly skilled, professional and reliable. For more information and to find an NASC scaffolding contractor visit **www.nasc.org.uk** 

Ff 🔰 in 🕒

www.nasc.org.uk





The **CISRS Continuing Professional Development (CPD)** course is a mandatory requirement prior to the renewal of all CISRS Scaffolder / Advanced cards. Operatives whose cards expire in the next six months should look to **book a course now** to ensure they do not lapse.

For more information visit **www.cisrs.org.uk** 

# CONTENTS

PRESIDENT'S WELCOME...... COMPARISON OF NASC/HSE ACCIDE FATALITIES AND INJURIES TO OPER CAUSES OF ACCIDENTS ...... ANALYSIS OF ACCIDENTS ...... INJURIES TO THIRD PARTIES & MEN ANALYSIS OF INJURIES TO OPERATI KEY FINDINGS AND NEXT STEPS ..... HEALTH & SAFETY COMMITEE COM SUPPORT AND GUIDANCE......

5
ENT STATISTICS 6
RATIVES 8
MBERS OF THE PUBLIC 14
IVES 16
IMENT 20

# **SG4 Preventing Falls in Scaffolding Operations**

# **NASC Poster for Site Managers, Contractors** and Supervisors showing good practice

Make sure those carrying out scaffolding operations on your site are working to NASC Safety Guidance SG4




INTRODUCTION TO SG4 AND PLANNING WORK AT HEIGHT

SG4 provides the scaffolding Industry with a safe system of work when working at height that ensures scaffolders can safely erect, alter or dismantle scaffolding without being exposed to the risk of a fall. SG4 focuses on the measures scaffolding contractors and scaffolders must take to create a scaffolders' safe zone. A range of options are provided to suit each contractor's preference

A scaffolders' safe zone consists of a fully boarded working platform without gaps and a single main guardrail (a minimum of 950mm above the platform).

The scaffolders' safe zone is a priority, as it protects the scaffolder using collective protection. This minimises the amount of time a scaffolder is solely reliant upon personal fall protection equipment (safety harness/lanyard), to avoid injury or worse, in the event of a fall.



Please refer to the guidance for the full range of methods for complying with SG4.

#### SG4 SCAFFSTEPS


This popular system uses a proprietary moveable step that is attached to the main guardrail approximately one metre above the working platform.

This enables the scaffolder to erect the guardrail protection on the lift above in advance or remove them from below during


Figure shows a scaffolder installing a guardrail in advance to the next lift from a scaffolders' step protected by the ledgers

## and transoms

Figure below shows "short lift" / "dummy-lift" and the middle bays can be reached from adjacent bays to fix the guardrails.




OTHER SAFE WAYS TO COMPLY WITH SG4






Falls from height account for almost half of the fatal accidents in the construction sector. Falling from height is a significant risk faced by scaffolders when erecting, altering or dismantling during most scaffolding operations

Since the introduction of SG4 in the mid-1990s we have seen a 78% reduction in the number of falls recorded from scaffolding operations. Effective training, planning and supervision are the key to safe working at height.









### SG4 WORKING ON BEAMS

Figure shows a scaffolder using a twin-tailed energy absorbing lanyard while "crabbing" on a beam. Note: To ensure the support scaffold (not shown) cannot tip

Note: to ensure the support scatfold (not shown) cannot the over, always ensure that the support scatfold is enceted as per the approved design drawing and is fully supported before working on the beams – and for the subsequent dismantle ensure that the support scatfold is left untouched until all required beam work is dismantled to ensure there is no risk of the cantilever tipping and falling. This applies to all arrangements but particularly to cantilevers.

#### SG4 AND RESCUE PLANNING

Any rescue plan must consider the potential danger that rescuers may have to place themselves in, to carry out a rescue Priority should be given to equipment and techniques that would e the risk of further accidents and injuries to the

The NASC recommend that contractors use equipment such as inertia reds, lien systems, and twin tail or double lanyards with energy absorber, fixed to suitable anchorage points, to prevent falls below the working platform when working on hanging scaffolds etc.

- Preventing falls or having the opportunity to easily recove a casualty onto an adjacent platform can avoid the need for
- specialist rescue equipment.
- NASC guidance SG19 states that the manual handling rescue system is often the safest and most ranid
- Further information on rescue planning can be found in SG4 (and also in NASC Safety Guidance: SG19 A Guide to Formulating a Rescue Plan).

For further guidance, please download the latest free copy of SG4 from the NASC website:

www.nasc.org.uk

November 2018

**PRESIDENT'S WELCOME** 

The NASC believes wholeheartedly in safety leadership, accountability and transparency, which is why it goes to such great lengths every year to collate, analyse and publish a detailed safety report to enable the NASC to focus on strategies and guidance to improve the scaffolding industry.

The NASC 2019 Safety Report documents and analyses accident and injury statistics provided by 225 NASC full contracting members, who collectively employ over 16,000 scaffolding operatives.

I am pleased to report that there are plenty of positives to celebrate. There were no scaffolding operative fatalities reported by NASC members during 2018 – the sixth year in succession that this has been the case.

While the number of incidents reported has risen slightly this year. it is important to view this figure in context. The NASC has been reporting members' accidents since 1975 and it has been a continuously improving statistic. The numbers show that 99.3 per cent of all NASC scaffolding operatives went through 2018 incidentfree.

Furthermore, the incidence and frequency rates (see page 9) remain incredibly low, demonstrating how much value NASC full contracting members place on implementing and enforcing safe working standards on every project they undertake.

However, there is always room for improvement. This is why the NASC will use the data collected to drive positive change – looking for ways to lead safety in our industry and help our members continually improve H&S standards on sites and in yards across the UK. The NASC's recent publication of the SG4 Poster is a case in point.

The NASC will use the charts and graphs shown on the following pages



and those contained in previous safety reports to search for any trends in the figures.

It is only through this analytical approach, derived from with frank and transparent accident collation, that we are able to lead on safety through our ongoing collaboration with the Health & Safety Executive and other leading construction bodies, and the continued support and determination of NASC members that our trade body can surpass its already excellent safety standards.

Des Moore, NASC President



Comparison of NASC Accident and Construction Industry Statistics supplied by the HSE

	i	2009/10	D		2010/1	L	1	2011/12	2		2012/1	3		2013/14	1		2014/1	5		2015/16	;		2016/12	Z		2017/18	3	2	2018/19	9
	Fatal Injury	Major Injury	Over 3 day	Fatal Injury	Major Injury	Over 3 day	Fatal Injury	Major Injury	Over 3 day	Fatal Injury	Major Injury	Over 7 day																		
Statistics derived from the NASC annua	l returi	ns of re	portabl	le acci	dents								-			-			-											
Number of NASC Operatives		13,124			14,686			13,716			14,098			13,749			14,988			14,954			17,005			16,433			16,758	
NASC	0	41	98	0	28	93	0	34	111	1	27	67	0	30	66	0	36	69	0	33	63	0	27	69	0	17	72	0	22	91
Construction industry statistics provide	ed by H	SE fron	n RIDD	OOR re	ports																									
Construction Industry General Statistics	42	2,585	5,651	50	2,298	4,764	49	2,230	5,391	39	1,913	3,133	42	1,900	3,293	35	1,833	3,581	43			30			38					
Scaffolding Industry Fatalities Total	4	202	280	0	150	244	2	116	197	3	117	223	1	136	139															

**Note:** The general construction statistics and the scaffolding fatalities include all those reported by NASC members. The NASC reporting period runs from January - December. The HSE reporting period runs from April - March. Changes in requirements now mean that separate figures for non NASC members are no longer available from 2013/14 and are simply added to the construction figure.

Since 2005 the NASC have been comparing their member company's accident statistics with those produced by the HSE for construction industry accidents in general.

The table below gives an overview of reportable accident statistics recorded by all NASC full contracting members, which is a requirement of NASC membership, and the overall construction industry statistics compiled by the HSE from the RIDDOR reports they receive.

The table also identifies any scaffolder related fatalities that have been reported by its members over the last 10 years – zero again for 2018, the sixth consecutive year.

In line with HSE reporting procedures, the NASC's Safety Reports are based on 7–day reporting. We have not had figures from the rest of the industry for some years as these figures are no longer made available by the regulatory authority.



# **FATALITIES & INJURIES TO OPERATIVES**

It is a condition of NASC membership criteria that every member is required to complete an annual accident return. This Safety Report is based on factual information reported by all full contracting members of the NASC from January to December 2018.

# **FATALITIES TO OPERATIVES**

There were no fatalities reported by the NASC membership during 2018. This is the sixth year running that the NASC have been able to report no fatalities to scaffolding operatives.

# 113 **INCIDENTS IN 2018**

# **INJURIES TO OPERATIVES**

The number of accidents reported by NASC members for 2018 is based on 7-day incidents.

There were 113 incidents recorded in 2018 from a combined workforce of 16,758 operatives from 225 full contracting members.

There were more incidents reported in 2018 than in previous years, which is disappointing to see. However, this shows that members are fully committed to transparency and fulfilling their obligation to report incidents to the regulatory authority.

Furthermore, the NASC is able to analyse the incidents reported – see tables and commentary on the following pages – and put measures in place to help our members and the industry address any H&S shortcomings.

It is hoped that these actions will result in fewer incidents in the next NASC annual safety report.

We will also share incident data contained within this report with the Health & Safety Executive (HSE) and sub-groups such as the Construction Industry Advisory Network (CONIAN) to support them in the development of further regulation and safety campaigns.

# TABLE 1: NASC Accident Figures – Yearly Summary

Year	Number of Companies	Number of Operatives	Incidents	Incidence Rate	Frequency Rate	Fatalities	Fatal Incidence Ra
1977	36	6,772	700	103.37	5.17	2	0.29
1978	40	7,244	596	82.27	4.11	2	0.27
1979	44	8,510	655	76.97	3.85	3	0.35
1980	46	8,160	532	65.2	3.26	3	0.36
1981	50	7,513	496	66.02	3.3	4	0.53
1982	41	6,833	546	79.91	4	0	0
1983	46	6,809	480	70.49	3.52	3	0.44
1984	44	5,930	421	70.99	3.55	1	0.16
1985	45	5,420	423	78.04	3.9	3	0.55
1986	45	6,840	523	76.46	3.82	3	0.43
1987	47	6,842	497	72.65	3.63	2	0.29
1988	47	8,094	576	71.16	3.56	1	0.12
1989	40	7,640	550	71.99	3.6	0	0
1990	29	8,435	447	52.99	2.65	2	0.23
1991	53	7,090	530	74.75	3.74	1	0.14
1992	54	6,603	283	42.86	2.14	1	0.15
1993	62	6,321	283	44.77	2.24	1	0.15
1994	70	7,520	264	35.11	1.76	1	0.13
1995	71	7,525	267	35.49	1.77	1	0.13
1996	68	6,816	248	36.38	1.82	1	0.14
1997	87	8,943	330	36.9	1.85	2	0.22
1998	81	7,871	232	29.48	1.47	0	0
1999	105	10,679	258	24.16	1.21	0	0
2000	110	10,779	253	23.47	1.17	1	0.09
2001	119	11,950	243	20.3	1.017	2	0.17
2002	122	10,721	189	17.63	0.88	1	0.09
2003	125	11,810	197	16.68	0.83	1	0.084
2004	138	10,499	198	18.86	0.94	1	0.095
2005	145	11,238	213	18.95	0.95	0	0
2006	148	11,994	169	14.09	0.7	0	0
2007	152	14,029	174	12.4	0.62	0	0
2008	174	13,760	170	12.35	0.62	0	0
2009	201	13,124	139	10.59	0.53	0	0
2010	201	14,686	121	8.24	0.41	0	0
2011	201	13,716	145	10.57	0.53	0	0
2012	201	14,098	134	9.5	0.48	1	0.07
2013	200	13,749	96	6.98	0.35	0	0
2014	202	14,988	105	7.01	0.35	0	0
2015	199	14,954	96	6.42	0.32	0	0
2016	211	17,005	96	5.65	0.28	0	0
2017	223	16,443	89	5.41	0.28	0	0
2018	225	16,758	113	6.74	0.35	0	0

Method of Calculation:

**INCIDENCE RATE =** 

FREQUENCY RATE =



# No. of reported accidents x 1000 Average No. of Operatives

No. of reported accidents x 100,000 Average No. of hours worked

# **CAUSES OF** ACCIDENTS **TO OPERATIVES**

The 2018 statistics, detailed in Tables 2.1, 2.2 and 3, log the number and cause of accidents to NASC operatives as well as third parties and members of the public.

## **OPERATIVES**

There were 113 injuries suffered by NASC operatives during 2018. Of these, 22 were recorded as Major, requiring hospital treatment, with the remaining 91 recorded as over 7-days. These figures are both higher than those recorded in 2017.

Analysing the data further, we have found that Slips, Trips and Falls (STFs) were responsible for the bulk of the rise in incidents – increasing from 30 in 2017 to 49 in 2018.

This is 14th consecutive year that STFs has been the predominant cause of injury to operatives, accounting for 43% of all injuries reported in 2018, up from 34% in 2017.

The NASC is keen to address this issue and is set to review its safety quidance SG31 Management of Slips and Trips.

The NASC will continue to work with its members and the wider scaffolding

industry to raise awareness of the danger STFs pose to operatives on site / yards and particularly when working at height. Additionally, the NASC continues to work with the HSE to develop campaigns aimed at reducing STFs across the construction industry as a whole.

Falls from height rose from 14 in 2017 to 22 in 2018. The number of falls reported from scaffolds/working platforms at or above 4m was 4, up from 1 in 2017. The number of falls from scaffolds/working platforms less than 4m was 18, up from 13 in 2017.

The causes of these falls show no particular trend, ranging from slipping on a platform to falling from a wagon.

The NASC is aware that all falls from height have the potential to cause serious injury or loss of life. This is why the NASC places such emphasis on raising awareness of its safety guidance SG4 Preventing Falls in Scaffolding Operations.

Furthermore, the NASC has recently published an SG4 Poster aimed at clients, contractors and subcontractors and site managers making them aware of safe working practices. This Poster is free to download from the NASC website.

# THIRD PARTIES

The NASC was saddened to learn that a third party contractor died during 2018 after falling from a working platform erected by an NASC full contracting member.

The fatality was investigated and it was found that the client had requested no internal edge protection on the working platform as it would impede the work of installing windows.

Furthermore, it was discovered that unauthorised modifications had been made to the scaffold – with external edge protection installed by the NASC contractor subsequently dismantled by third party operatives using the platform. These factors played a significant role in this tragic accident.

The NASC recommends that all clients and third party contractors working on scaffolds refer to the NASC's safety guidance SG36 Unauthorised Modifications to Scaffolds.

Additionally, the NASC would advise that clients and third party contractors undertake scaffold awareness training/ tool box talks prior to working on scaffolds.

# MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

There were no injuries suffered by members of the public during 2018.

# TABLE 2.1: NASC Accident Figures – Causes of Accidents to Site Operatives



TABLE 2.2: NASC Accident Figures – Causes of Accidents to Yard Operatives



TABLE 3: NASC Accident Figures – Causes of Accidents to 3rd Parties and Operatives

	Me	nbers o	of the pr	ıblic	3	rd Party	7 Injurio	es		Opera	atives	
	Fatal	Major	7 Day	Total	Fatal	Major	7 Day	Total	Fatal	Major	7 Day	Total
FALLS												
Scaffolds					1		1	2		3	4	7
Working Platforms							1	1		2	4	6
Ladder							2	2			2	2
Fall in yard												
Wagons											3	3
Breakage i.e. displacement boards							4	4				
Other										1	3	4
SUB TOTAL					1		8	9		6	16	22
FALLS OF MATERIALS												
Scaffolds												
Working Platforms											1	1
Ladder												
Fall in yard												
Wagons											1	1
Breakage i.e. displacement boards												
Other							1	1			2	2
SUB TOTAL							1	1			4	4
OTHER ACCIDENTS												
Manual Handling							1	1		4	13	17
Slips, Trips and Falls on the same level							5	5		8	41	49
Fire/Explosion										2		2
Work equipment											8	8
Electricity											1	1
Other										2	8	10
SUB TOTAL							6	6		16	71	87
TOTAL					1		15	16		22	91	113

◆ Handling of Materials ▲ Other Yard Accidents ● Falls

# ANALYSIS OF ACCIDENTS OF Coverty Seaffolding of Coverty Seaffolding

Looking in detail at the relationship between individually reported accidents and the demographic and skill level of the scaffolder in comparison to the previous year's statistics is vital for learning and modifying safety teaching/guidance notes to improve safety standards.

As part of the NASC's analysis of the annual accident statistics, the review takes account of the relationship between individual groups of scaffolders/operatives based on their age range and grade.

Table 4 shows the highest number of accidents by age were suffered by employees in the 31-40 bracket (38), followed by 21-30 (33) and 41-50 (20).


It also shows that with 57 incidents, scaffolders suffered the greatest number of accidents by grade, accounting for just over half of the incidents recorded in total.

Scaffolders were followed by labourers (22), trainees (13) and advanced scaffolders (11). Drivers suffered four accidents, while supervisors and managers suffered three accidents each.

# ACCIDENTS BY AGE

ACCIDENTS BY GRADE

n) Ltd – Mastaba, London



# TABLE 4: Operatives by Age, Grade of Scaffolder and Type of Accident

						Fa	lls							FALI	MA	TER	IALS			M	HO	w	E	H	IS	ST	F	EL	EC	FI	RE	OTH	IER	
		SCAF	FOLD	N	/P	LAD	DER	WAG	ONS	ОТІ	HER	SCAF	FOLD	w	Ρ	WAG	ONS	ОТН	IER															Total
Age	GRADE	MI	7D	MI	7D	MI	7D	MI	7D	MI	7D	MI	7D	MI	7D	MI	7D	MI	7D	MI	7D	MI	7D	MI	7D	MI	7D	MI	7D	MI	7D	MI	7D	Ē
	SUPERVISOR																																	
	ADVANCED																																	
18-20	SCAFFOLDER																										1							1
ġ	TRAINEE				1																													1
	LABOURER																			1	1													2
	DRIVER																																	
	SUPERVISOR																																	
	ADVANCED																																1	1
e R	SCAFFOLDER			2	1																2		1			2	5					1	1	15
2	TRAINEE		1																		1						3						1	6
	LABOURER										1								1		1					1	5					1		10
	DRIVER																1																	1
	SUPERVISOR																										1							1
	ADVANCED				1																2						2							5
-40	SCAFFOLDER		3				1		1		1				1					1			1			2	8		1				2	22
č		1																			1						3							5
	LABOURER																				2		2				1							5
	DRIVER																																	
	SUPERVISOR																																	
	ADVANCED																				1					1								2
41-50	SCAFFOLDER	2					1													1	1		1			1	1			1			2	11
4	TRAINEE								1																									1
	LABOURER																				1					1	1						1	4
	DRIVER								1																		1							2
	SUPERVISOR																										1							1
	ADVANCED									1													1				1							3
_	SCAFFOLDER				1																		2				4			1				8
51-60	TRAINEE																																	
ß	LABOURER																		1															1
	DRIVER																										1							1
	MANAGER										1									1							1							3
	SUPERVISOR																										1							1
	ADVANCED																																	
	SCAFFOLDER																																	
÷19	TRAINEE																																	<b>—</b>
	LABOURER																																	-
	DRIVER					-					-																							-
-	TOTALS	3	4	2	4	0	2	0	3	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	4	13	0	8	0	0	8	41	0	1	2	0	2	8	11:
		-		-			2			•		-	-			4	•	-	-		7	ت ٤			)	4			 		2			11:

Total Number of Falls from Height (Operatives)	
Highest reported Fall of Operatives	7m
Number of Falls from Scaffold and Working Platforms (SC/WP)	13
Number of Falls under 4m	18
Number of Falls at 4m or above	
Number of Falls from Ladders	2
Number of Reported Falls from Scaffolds Arrested by Safety Harness	1

## Key

**MI =** Major Injury

7d = Over 7 Day Injury

**MHO =** Manual Handling Operations

**STF =** Slips, Trips & Falls on the same level


**Elec =** Electrical Injury

WE = Work Equipment

HS = Harmful Substance

**WP =** Workings Platforms

# **INJURIES TO THIRD PARTIES & MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC**



Since 2002, NASC members have been asked to differentiate between accidents involving third parties or members of the public as part of their reporting procedures.


In 2018, there were 16 incidents reported for injuries to third parties and 0 incidents reported for injuries to members of the public. The incidents for third parties related to slips, trips and falls on the same level (5), falls from height (9) falls of materials (1) and manual handling (1).

# FREQUENCY OF ACCIDENTS IN DIFFERENT SIZED COMPANIES

As part of their reporting process the NASC also identifies the total number of accidents reported relative to the size of the individual companies.

Table 6 indicates the experience of different sized firms (for their own operatives), using standard incidence measurement. It is suggested that companies should measure their own incidence rate and then compare it with the figures for similar sized companies and the average for all firms.

# Table 5: Accident Figures - Third Parties and Members of the Public



# Table 6: Frequency of Accidents in Different Sized Companies

Company Size		Num	ber of	Comp	anies		All company Employees	All company Sub Contractors	All company Total	Number of Accidents to Workers (non-fatal)	Total Operative Fatalities	Accidents to members of Public (non-fatal)	Fatalities to members of the Public	Number of accidents - 3rd parties	Sum of 3rd party Fatalities	Arrested by Safety Harnesses	RIDDOR Diseases
1 - 20 (VERY SMALL)	60						739	19	758	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21 - 50 (SMALL)		90					2,815	111	2,926	34	0	0	0	5	1	0	0
51 - 100 (SMALL - MEDIUM)			42				2,818	119	2,937	28	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
101 - 200 (MEDIUM)				19			2,438	77	2,515	19	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
201 - 1000 (MEDIUM - LARGE)					12		4,904	225	5,129	20	0	0	0	2	0	1	0
1001+ (LARGE)						2	2,493	0	2,493	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL							16,207	551	16,758	113	0	0	0	15	1	1	0





# **ANALYSIS OF INJURIES TO OPERATIVES**


The following tables give a breakdown of all the injuries reported, identifying the type of injury sustained and the part of the body affected.

Table 7.1 shows the most common injury sustained was a fracture (41), followed by sprain (22) and bruising (13). (7.2 to 7.6), shown on pages 17 and The most common body part injured was feet, toes & ankles (32), followed by hand, fingers and wrist (20) and back (15).

The injury data contained in Table 7.1 is subdivided into five separate tables 18. These tables identify the different types of accidents (Falling from Height, Falling Materials, Manual Handling, Slips, Trips and Falls, and Others) and the injuries sustained.

INJURIES RESULTING FROM FALLS FROM HEIGHT

ng Ltd - Longrock Depot, Cornwall



# Table 7.1: All Injuries

	EYES	FACE	HEAD	NECK/ SHOULDER	RIBS / CHEST / STOMACH	BACK	ARM	HAND / FINGERS / WRIST	LEG / HIP / GROIN	FEET / TOES / ANKLES	MULTIPLE	GRAND TOTAL
FRACTURE				3	1	4	4	10	2	17		41
AMPUTATION								2				2
DISLOCATION				2					1			3
TEMP. SIGHT LOSS	1											1
BURN											2	2
PENETRATION								1				1
STRAIN						6			2			8
SPRAIN				2		2		4	1	12	1	22
ABRASION				1				1			1	3
LACERATION							1	1	2			4
CUT		2	1									3
BRUISING				1	4	3	1	1	1	1	1	13
MULTIPLE					1						1	2
OTHER		1	1	1	1				2	2		8
GRAND TOTAL	1	3	2	10	7	15	6	20	11	32	6	113

# Table 7.2: Falls from Height

	EYES	FACE	HEAD	NECK/ SHOULDER	RIBS / CHEST / STOMACH	BACK	ARM	HAND / FINGERS / WRIST	LEG / HIP / GROIN	FEET / TOES / ANKLES	MULTIPLE	GRAND TOTAL
FRACTURE				1	1	3	2	1		4		12
AMPUTATION												
DISLOCATION												
BURN												
PENETRATION												
STRAIN												
SPRAIN								1		1		2
ABRASION												
LACERATION												
CUT												
BRUISING					1	2					1	4
MULTIPLE					1						1	2
OTHER				1					1			2
GRAND TOTAL	0	0	0	2	3	5	2	1	0	5	2	22

# Table 7.3: Falling Materials

	EYES	FACE	HEAD	NECK / SHOULDER	RIBS / CHEST / STOMACH	BACK	ARM	HAND / FINGERS /	LEG / HIP / GROIN	FEET / TOES / ANKLES	MULTIPLE	GRAND TOTAL
FRACTURE								WRIST				1
AMPUTATION								-				
DISLOCATION												
BURN												
PENETRATION												
STRAIN												
SPRAIN				1								1
ABRASION				1								1
LACERATION												
CUT												
BRUISING						1						1
MULTIPLE												
OTHER												
GRAND TOTAL	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	4

# Table 7.4: Manual Handling Injuries

	EYES	FACE	HEAD	NECK/ SHOULDER	RIBS / CHEST / STOMACH	BACK	ARM	HAND / FINGERS / WRIST	LEG / HIP / GROIN	FEET / TOES / ANKLES	MULTIPLE	GRAND TOTAL
FRACTURE							2			2		4
AMPUTATION								2				2
DISLOCATION												
BURN												
PENETRATION												
STRAIN						3						3
SPRAIN								2				2
ABRASION												
LACERATION							1	1				2
CUT			1									1
BRUISING				1				1				2
MULTIPLE												
OTHER			1									1
GRAND TOTAL	0	0	2	1	0	3	3	6	0	2	0	17

# Table 7.5: Slips Trips and Falls

	EYES	FACE	HEAD	NECK/ SHOULDER	RIBS/CHEST /STOMACH	BACK	ARM	HAND / FINGERS / WRIST	LEG / HIP / GROIN	FEET / TOES / ANKLES	MULTIPLE	GRAND TOTAL
FRACTURE				1		1		6	2	8		18
AMPUTATION												
DISLOCATION				2					1			3
BURN												
PENETRATION												
STRAIN						2			1			3
SPRAIN				1		2		1	1	11	1	17
ABRASION											1	1
LACERATION												
CUT		1										1
BRUISING					2				1			3
MULTIPLE												
OTHER					1				1	1		3
GRAND TOTAL	0	1	0	4	3	5	0	7	7	20	2	49

# Table 7.6: Other causes of Injuries

	EYES	FACE	HEAD	NECK/ SHOULDER	RIBS/CHEST /STOMACH	BACK	ARM	HAND / FINGERS / WRIST	LEG / HIP / GROIN	FEET / TOES / ANKLES	MULTIPLE	GRAND TOTAL
FRACTURE				1				2		3		6
AMPUTATION												
DISLOCATION												
TEMP. SIGHT LOSS	1											1
BURN											2	2
PENETRATION								1				1
STRAIN						1			1			2
SPRAIN												
ABRASION								1				1
LACERATION									2			2
CUT		1										1
BRUISING					1		1			1		3
MULTIPLE												
OTHER		1								1		2
GRAND TOTAL	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	4	3	5	2	21

# **KEY FINDINGS AND NEXT STEPS**

This page summarises the key findings of the 2018 accident data and action points identified by the NASC intended to reduce incidents in the future.

## 1. THERE WERE 113 INCIDENTS Recorded in 2018, UP from 89 in 2017 – A rise of 27%.

While it is disappointing to see a rise in incidents, it is worth placing this figure in context. Taking into account the total number of operatives employed by NASC members and the nature of their work, the number of incidents recorded, and the corresponding incidence and frequency rates, remain incredibly low.

The figures show that 99.3% of all scaffolding operatives went through 2018 incident-free. As the NASC and its members are committed to raising safety standards, however, our focus is on the 0.7% who did not.

Whilst acknowledging that some accidents will happen – irrespective of training and safety protocols that the NASC believes more can be done to eliminate avoidable incidents on-site.

## 2. THE NUMBER OF INCIDENTS Involving third parties in 2018 Was 16 – Including one fatal Injury.

To ensure third parties are less likely to suffer injuries on or around scaffolding, the Construction Industry Scaffolders Record Scheme (CISRS) has developed a one-day scaffolding awareness course aimed at tradesmen and other construction workers.

This course is expected to launch in summer 2019. It is available to any workers who work on scaffolding – such as painters, bricklayers, electricians and plumbers – and those wanting a better understanding of scaffolding operations.

It will provide attendees with a greater understanding and appreciation of the potential dangers of working at height on scaffolds and enable them to identify the core components of a safe scaffold. Delegates will be issued with a CISRS Scaffolding Awareness certificate upon successful completion of the course.

# 3. SLIPS, TRIPS AND FALLS ON THE Same level (STFS) is the most common cause of injury.

There were 49 STFs recorded in 2018, representing 43% of the total incidents reported. This is the 14th consecutive year that STFs is the most common cause of injury to scaffold operatives.

Table 4 shows that scaffold operatives in the age range of 21-30 and 31-40 who are often working onsite erecting scaffolds are more prone to STFs. This is due to the workforce being comprised of fit, active and mobile scaffolders working in environments that are constantly changing due to other trade activities taking place onsite.

Whilst acknowledging that STFs will happen, the NASC believes that more can be done to reduce the number of incidents on-site. These are as follows: to review the current safety guidance SG31 Management of Slips and Trips, develop playing cards to bring awareness to operatives of hazard and its affects, and to look at different ways to communicate the message to scaffolders.

# 4. FALLS OF OPERATIVES ROSE TO 22 IN 2018, UP FROM 14 IN 2017.

There were 22 falls of operatives in 2018 from scaffold/working platforms with 4 above and 18 below 4m height. There is no trend as to the cause of these incidents, ranging from falling off platforms and a wagon.

Falls from height have the potential to cause a serious injury or loss of life, whether it is a fall from a wagon, ladders, platforms or scaffold. The NASC is constantly raising awareness of its safety guidance SG4 Preventing Falls in Scaffolding Operations.

The current SG4 Preventing Falls in Scaffolding Operations is to be reviewed by an SG4 Working Group made up of NASC Health and Safety Committee Members and a representative from the Health and Safety Executive. The revised safety guidance is expected to be available in 2020.

The new SG4 Poster for Site Managers, Contractors and Supervisors is available, and free to order and/or download on the NASC online shop www.nasc.org.uk/shop.

# 5. FALLS OF MATERIALS IN 2018 FELL To 4 in 2018, down from 12 in 2017.

The number of falls of materials was down from 12 to 4 incidents reported in 2018. This is likely to be the result of the NASC safety guidance SG9 Use, Inspection & Maintenance of Lifting Equipment and Accessories for Lifting in Scaffolding having a positive influence.

This guidance is being reviewed and is expected to be available in early 2020.

## 6. MANUAL HANDLING INCIDENTS IN 2018 ROSE TO 17, UP FROM 12 IN 2017.

There were 17 manual handling incidents reported, up 5 from 2017. The main cause of these incidents is lifting boards from platforms and stacks when erecting and dismantling scaffolds. The injuries suffered are to hands/fingers/ wrists followed by back and arm.

Manual handling has the potential to cause a serious injury to scaffold operatives. The NASC is constantly raising awareness of its safety guidance SG6 Manual Handling in the Scaffolding Industry.

The NASC is looking to develop playing cards to bring awareness to operatives of manual handling hazard and its affects, and look at different ways to communicate the message to scaffolders.



"The NASC continues to record and measure the effectiveness of industry training, as well as contractor and third-party awareness of safety in the Scaffolding industry. Following reported increases in incidents of slips and trips during 2018, the NASC continues to publish and distribute safety posters

and guidance to improve safety on site and raise awareness not only with

scaffolding contractors but with clients and the general public.

Working closely with the HSE, the NASC delivers practical working methods that can be easily applied by scaffolding contractors ensuring consistent uptake by its members.

The NASC is proud of the transparency and thoroughness of reporting across

its members which demonstrates the value of the organisation in the protection of individuals as well as raising standards across all aspects of scaffolding works on site."

Alan Harris NASC Health & Safety Committee Chair

# NASC: **SUPPORT & GUIDANCE**

# NASC

The NASC works with key industry organisations – driving up scaffolding safety standards, recommending good practice and keeping ahead of developments in the rapidly evolving construction industry.

Many representatives from the top organisations listed below are members of NASC committees – focusing on particular areas of good practice, including Health & Safety.

Closer ties are constantly being developed with industry organisations. Significantly, links with HSE and Build UK help to mould requirements, and renewed involvement with BSI and CEN and developing links with Europe (through UEG) are essential to the role of the NASC in the UK construction industry.

## NASC CORE SAFETY **OBJECTIVES ARE...**

- Have an incident-free safety record
- Ensure scaffolders can work safely throughout their career
- Enable all scaffolding companies to draw on NASC experience and guidance – raising safety standards across the industry
- Continuously audit NASC members to ensure they follow industry guidance and best practice
- Update Safety Guidance and Technical Guidance on a five-year cycle, as a minimum















# **OUR SPECIAL** THANKS TO... All NASC full contracting members for their

assistance with this Safety Report:

3 D Scaffolding Ltd A&A Scaffolding Plus Eight (2003) Ltd Abbey Scaffolding (Swindon) Ltd Abbi Access Services Ltd Access 2 Limited T/A Sandwell Scaffold Access Solutions Scaffolding Ltd Ace Scaffolding (M/CR) Ltd Acorn Scaffolding (Yorkshire) Ltd Actavo (UK) Ltd Advance Scaffolding (Lancashire) Ltd Advanced Scaffolding Group Ltd Airwright (Midlands) Ltd Alan Wilks Scaffolding Ltd Allen & Foxworthy Ltd Allied Scaffolding Ltd Alltask Ltd Altrad NSG Limited Alustar UK Amber Scaffolding Ltd Anglesey Scaffolding (Ynys Mon) Company Ltd APE Scaffolding Ltd Apex Scaffolding (Exeter) Ltd Apex Scaffolding (Leicester) Ltd Apply Scaffolding Services Ltd Archway Services Ltd Arctic Scaffolding Co Ltd Artel Scaffolding Ltd ASC Edinburgh Limited Ash Scaffolding Ltd Ashdurn Ltd Ashton Scaffolding Services Ltd ATPAC Limited Austins Cradles (Eastbourne) Ltd B & A Scaffolding Ltd B.J. Champion Scaffolding Limited Barnet Scaffolding Services Ltd T/A JDC Scaffolding London Bate Scaffolding Services Ltd Bee Jay Scaffolding Ltd Benchmark Scaffolding Ltd Bilfinger Salamis UK Limited Bilfinger UK Limited Blencowe Scaffolding Ltd Brand Energy & Infrastructure Services UK Ltd T/A SGB Brisko Scaffolding Limited Brogan Group Ltd Brunel Scaffolding Ltd Bryson Scaffolding Ltd BSL (Systems) Ltd

Burflex (Scaffolding) Ltd Cade Roofing & Building Services Ltd Cape Industrial Services Ltd Carl Hendy Limited T/A ASW Scaffolding Carlisle Scaffolding Ltd Carrington Scaffolding Ltd Castle Scaffolding (Wales) Ltd CCS Scaffolding Ltd CDM Scaffolding Services (1994) Ltd Central Scaffolding (Burton) Ltd Central Trades Limited Check-It Scaffold Services Ltd Cheshire Scaffolds Ltd Chris Sedgeman Scaffolding Ltd Clyde Scaffolding Ltd Combisafe International Ltd Commercial Scaffolding Ltd Complete Access (Scaffolding) Ltd Complete Access Specialist Contracts Ltd Connect Scaffolding Ltd Connolly Scaffolding Ltd Contract Scaffolding Services Ltd Controlled Scaffolding Ltd Coventry Scaffolding Co (London) Ltd Crest Scaffolding Ltd Crossway Scaffolding (Elland) Ltd CWR Scaffolds Ltd D & R Group PLC D Ward Scaffolding Co Ltd D. Stewart Scaffolding Ltd Denholm Industrial Services Ltd Design Scaffolding (Bristol) Ltd E A Scaffolding & Systems Ltd Elite Scaffolding (Southern) Ltd Elite Scaffolding (South West) Ltd Empire Scaffolding (GB) Ltd Enigma Industrial Services Ltd ENJ Scaffolding Ltd Euro Scaffolding Services Ltd Fast Fix Scaffolding Ltd Focus (MDC) Ltd Forgeco Ltd Form Access Ltd Formula Scaffolding Ltd Fourways Plant Ltd Fred Champion Scaffolding Ltd GB Scaffolding (Gainsborough) Ltd Generation (UK) Limited T/A Generation Hire & Sale George Roberts (North West) Ltd

Gibby Scaffolding Limited Gilray Plant Ltd GKR Scaffolding Ltd Globe Scaffolding Ltd Gloucester Scaffolding Ltd H & H Contract Scaffolding Ltd H.T. Scaffolding Systems Ltd HAKI Ltd Hewaswater Scaffolding Ltd High Peak Scaffolding Ltd Hi-Pro Scaffolding Ltd HM Scaffolding Ltd IAS (Dundee) Ltd IBN Scaffold Access Ltd Ideal Scaffolding (Southern) Ltd Independent Scaffolding Services Ltd Ingleford Scaffolding Ltd Inspired Scaffolding Services Ltd Interlink Scaffolding Ltd J & I Scaffolding Ltd J.W. Scaffolding Limited JC Beale Scaffolding Ltd JEM Scaffolding Ltd JFE Attridge Scaffolding Services Co Ltd K Scaffolding Ltd K2 Scaffolds Ltd KAEFER Ltd King Scaffolding Ltd Kirk Scaffolding Ltd Landmark Scaffolding Ltd Lavher Ltd Lenehan Scaffolding (Preston) Ltd Liddiard Scaffolding Ltd Lindway Scaffolding Ltd LTC Scaffolding Limited LTC Specialist Scaffolding Ltd Lyndon Scaffolding Limited Lvsander Scaffolding Ltd M & M Scaffolding (Cornwall) Ltd M.R. Scaffolding (Anglia) Ltd MACT Scaffolding (London) Ltd Magnum Scaffolding (Contracts) Ltd Maidstone Scaffolding Ltd Malvern Scaffolding Ltd Mar Scaffolding (Scotland) Ltd McDonald Scaffolding (Services) Ltd Mechanical Access Company Ltd T/A MAC Scaffolding MG Scaffolding (Oxford) Ltd Midland & General Scaffolding Ltd

Midland Scaffolding Services Ltd Millcroft Services PLC MJD & Sons (Scaffolding) Ltd MK Scaffolding Specialists Ltd Montana Scaffolding Ltd MPT Scaffolding Ltd MR Scaffolding Services Ltd Muehlhan Industrial Services Limited T/A Muehlhan Scaffolding Narford Scaffolding Ltd Neal Stoneman Scaffolding Ltd Network Scaffolding Contractors Ltd NJS Scaffolding Ltd Northern 90 Scaffolding Ltd O.K. Scaffolding Limited Oxford Spires Scaffolding Ltd P c P Gratings Limited P.S.S. Scaffolding Ltd Palmers Scaffolding UK Ltd Par Scaffolding Ltd Paton Bros. Scaffolding Ltd Pen Mill Scaffolding (Hire & Sales) Ltd PERI Ltd PHD Modular Access Services Ltd Pickering Scaffolding Limited Premier Scaffolding Services Ltd Pro Access Scaffolding Ltd Pro-Fix Access Ltd **PSB** Sales Limited Q.F.S. Scaffolding Limited QED Scaffolding Ltd R.E.D. Scaffolding Ltd Rainham Industrial Services Ltd Ray Seager Scaffolding Services Ltd RBS Scaffolding Ltd RDA Scaffolding Ltd Rilmac Scaffolding Ltd Rise Scaffold Services Ltd RJS Scaffolding Ltd Rodells I td Rotamead Limited Rowecord Total Access Ltd Rowland Scaffold Co Ltd RPF Scaffolding Ltd RSEH Ltd T/A Heywood Scaffolding Services S & K Scaffolding Ltd S & P Scaffolding Ltd S.G.S. Ltd S.N. Scaffolds LLP S.R.K. Scaffolding Limited

Safe Access Scaffolding (Midlands) Ltd Safe Scaffolding Midlands Ltd Safeway Scaffolding Limited SAY Scaffolding Ltd SBS Scaffolding (Power) Limited SCA Group Ltd Scaffold Erection Services Ltd Scaffold IT UK Limited Scaffold Services Ltd Scaffolding 4 MGB Limited Scaffolding Access Solutions Ltd Scaffolding Solutions (Wales) Limited SeaBro Scaffolding Limited Severnside Scaffolding Ltd Shield Environmental Services Limited Silver Star Services Ltd Sky Scaffolding (Midlands) Ltd Sonic Scaffolding 2000 Ltd South Lincolnshire Scaffolding Ltd Speedier Scaffolding Ltd St Helens Plant Limited Staffordshire Access Scaffolding Ltd Standard Scaffolding Specialists Ltd Stanford Scaffolding Ltd Star Scaffolding Ltd Strathclyde Scaffolding Services Ltd Summit Marine Scaffolding Ltd SW Scaffolding Ltd Swale Scaffolding Ltd Tamworth Scaffolding Company Ltd Thomson Scaffolding Limited Tilson Scaffolding Ltd Tone Scaffolding Services Ltd Tower Scaffolding (South West) Limited TR Scaffolding (Bristol) Ltd Trad Scaffolding Co. Limited Trademagic Ltd TTAG Ltd Tubeline Scaffolding Ltd Tubes Scaffolding Ltd Turner Access Ltd UK Access Solutions Ltd UK System Scaffold Hire Ltd Unique Scaffolding Ltd van Thiel United UK Ltd Viking Scaffolding Services Ltd Vision Scaffolding Solutions Ltd Wood Group Industrial Services Ltd XL Scaffolding Ltd

S.Y.S. (Scaffolding Contractors) Ltd

## **CURRENT NASC INFORMATION MEMBERS:**

48.3 Scaffold Design Ltd Access Design & Safety Ltd Access Training Services Limited All Access Training Services Ltd BAM Construct UK Ltd Brady Corporation Ltd T/A Scafftag Buckley Design Solutions Ltd CADS (Computer And Design Services Ltd) Citation CITB Costain Limited GW Coote Ltd Highland Temporary Works Ltd Icopal Limited Independent Access Inspections Ltd Independent Design House Ltd Kier Professional Services Ltd MSA (Britain) Ltd Optima Scaffold Designs LLP PB Scaffold Design Ltd Raptor Scaffold Design & Consultancy Ltd RDG Engineering (Temporary Works) Ltd Risk Based Safety Limited Safety & Access Ltd Scafdac Ltd Scaftec Ltd Simian Risk Management Ltd SpanSet Limited StrikeSoft Ltd Technical & Design Engineering Ltd T/A TAD TRAD Safety Systems Limited Training 2000 Ltd Training for Construction Limited Tubular Techniques Ltd Tufcoat Ltd Vinci Construction UK Ltd Wates Construction Ltd Wildgoose Construction Ltd Willmott Dixon Holdings Ltd Winters Safety Services Zep UK Limited

The NASC members listings are correct at the time of going to press. For a current list of full and information members please consult the NASC website: www.nasc.org.uk



-

-----

Instantinger (

KILNBRIDGE

itte a t

SCAFFOLDING CONFEDERATION

## NASC

4th Floor 12 Bridewell Place London EC4V 6AP

Tel: 020 7822 7400 Fax: 020 7822 7401 Email: enquiries@nasc.org.uk

NASCscaffoldingNASCscaffolding

www.nasc.org.uk